Multi-Agent Oriented Programming Programming Agents' Organisations

JaCaMo meta-model



Simplified view on JaCaMo meta-model [Boissier et al., 2011] A seamless integration of three dimensions based on Jason [Bordini et al., 2007], Cartago [Ricci et al., 2009], Moise [Hübner et al., 2009] meta-models

Organization dimension



Simplified Conceptual View (Moise meta-model [Hübner et al., 2009])

Excerpts from organisation program:

```
<structural-specification>
```

```
crole_definitions>
<role id="auctioneer" />
<role id="participant" />
</role-definitions>
<rolesy
<rolesy
<role id="auctioneer" min="1" max="1"/>
<role id="auctioner" min="0" max="300"/>
</rolesy
```

```
Structural spec.
```

```
<functional-specification>
<scheme id="doAuction">
  <goal id="auction">
    <argument id="Id" />
    <argument id="Service" />
    <plan operator="sequence">
      <goal id="start" />
      <aoal id="bid"
                         ttf="10 seconds" />
      <aoal id="decide" ttf="1 hour" />
    </plan>
  </aoal>
  <mission id="mAuctioneer" min="1" max="1">
    <goal id="start" />
    <gool id="decide" />
  </mission>
```

Functional spec.

<rormative-specification>
<rormative-specification>
role="auctioneer"
mission="mAuctioneer" />
<rorm id="n2" type="obligation"
role="participant"
mission="mArticipant" />
</normative-specification>

```
Normative spec.
norm n1 : plays(A, auctionneer, G) ->
forbidden(A,n1,plays(A,participant,G),
_'forever_).
```

Organisation in JaCaMo: the \mathcal{M} oise Framework

OML (language)

 Tag-based language (issued from Moise [Hannoun et al., 2000], Moise⁺ [Hübner et al., 2002], MoiseInst [Gâteau et al., 2005])

OMI (infrastructure)

 developed as an artifact-based working environment (ORA4MAS [Hübner et al., 2009] based on CArtAgO nodes, refactoring of S-Moise⁺ [Hübner et al., 2006] and Synai [Gâteau et al., 2005])

Integrations

- Environment and Organisation ([Piunti et al., 2009]), Situated Artificial Institution [de Brito et al., 2015]
- ▶ Agents and Organisation (*J*-*M*oise⁺ [Hübner et al., 2007])



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Structural specification Functional specification Normative specification

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating **O** & **E** dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



\mathcal{M} oise Modelling Dimensions



<u>e</u> 0

\mathcal{M} oise OML

OML for defining organisation specification and organisation entity

► Three independent dimensions [Hübner et al., 2007] (~ well adapted for the reorganisation concerns):

- Structural: Roles, Groups
- Functional: Goals, Missions, Schemes
- Normative: Norms (obligations, permissions, interdictions)
- Abstract description of the organisation for
 - the designers
 - the agents

 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{J}\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ oise [Hübner et al., 2007]

- the Organisation Management Infrastructure
 - → ORA4MAS [Hübner et al., 2009]



\mathcal{M} oise OML meta-model (partial & simplified view)





\mathcal{M} oise OML global picture



Outline

Organization Abstractions Structural specification

Functional specification Normative specification

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Structural Specification

Specifies the structure of an MAS along three levels:

- Individual with Role
- Social with Link
- Collective with Group
- Components:
 - Role: label used to assign constraints on the behavior of agents playing it
 - Link: relation between roles that directly constrains the agents in their interaction with the other agents playing the corresponding roles
 - Group: set of links, roles, compatibility relations used to define a shared context for agents playing roles in it



Structural specification

- Defined with the tag structural-specification in the context of an organisational-specification
- One section for definition of all the roles participating to the structure of the organisation (role-definitions tag)
- Specification of the group including all subgroup specifications (group-specification tag)



Role specification

Role definition(role tag) in role-definitions section, is composed of:

- identifier of the role (id attribute of role tag)
- inherited roles (extends tag) by default, all roles inherit of the soc role -

```
<role-definitions>

<role id="player" />

<role id="coach" />

<role id="middle"> <extends role="player"/> </role>

<role id="leader"> <extends role="player"/> </role>

<role id="r1>

<extends role="r2" />

<extends role="r3" />

</role>

...
</role-definitions>
```



Group specification

- Group definition (group-specification tag) is composed of:
 - group identifier (id attribute of group-specification tag)
 - roles participating to this group and their cardinality (roles tag and id, min, max), i.e. min. and max. number of agents that should adopt the role in the group (default is 0 and unlimited)
 - links between roles of the group (link tag)
 - subgroups and their cardinality (subgroups tag)
 - formation constraints on the components of the group (formation-constraints)



extends-subgroups, scope

extends-subgroups

- Used for links or formation constraints
- if extends-subgroups== true, the link/constraint is also valid in all subgroups
- else it is valid only in the group where it is defined
- Default is false

scope

- Used for links or formation constraints
- if scope==inter-group: link or constraint exists for source or target belonging to different instances of the group
- if scope==intra-group: link or constraint exists for source or target belonging to the same instance of the group



Link specification

- Link definition (link tag) included in the group definition is composed of:
 - role identifiers (from, to)
 - type (type) with one of the following values: authority, communication, acquaintance
 - a scope (scope)
 - and validity to subgroups (extends-subgroups)

```
<link from="coach"
to="player"
type="authority"
scope="inter-group"
extends-subgroups="true" />
```



Formation constraint specification

- Formation constraints definition (formation-constraints tag) in a group definition is composed of:
 - compatibility constraints (compatibility tag) between roles (from, to), with a scope, extends-subgroups and directions (bi-dir)

```
<formation-constraints>
<compatibility from="middle"
to="leader"
scope="intra-group"
extends-subgroups="false"
bi-dir="true"/>
...
</formation-constraints>
```



Structural specification example (1)



Graphical representation of structural specification of Joj Team

Structural specification example (2)



Graphical representation of structural specification of 3-5-2 Joj Team

Outline

Organization Abstractions Structural specification Functional specification Normative specification

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Functional Specification

- Specifies the expected behaviour of an MAS in terms of goals along two levels:
 - Collective with Scheme
 - Individual with Mission
- Components:
 - Goals:
 - Performance goal (default type). Goals of this type should be declared as done by the agents committed to them, when realized
 - Achievement goal. Goals of this type should be declared as satisfied by the agents committed to them, when realized
 - Maintenance goal. Goals of this type are not realized at a precise moment but are pursued while the scheme is running. The agents committed to them do not need to declare that they are satisfied
 - **Scheme**: global goal decomposition tree assigned to a group
 - Any scheme has a root goal that is decomposed into subgoals
 - Missions: set of coherent goals assigned to roles within norms



Functional specification

- Defined with the tag functional-specification in the context of an organisational-specification
- Specification in sequence of the different schemes participating to the expected behaviour of the organisation

```
<functional-specification>
<scheme id="sideAttack" >
<goal id="dogoal" > ... </goal>
<mission id="m1" min="1" max="5">
...
</mission>
...
</scheme>
...
</functional-specification>
```



Scheme specification

- Scheme definition (scheme tag) is composed of:
 - identifier of the scheme (id attribute of scheme tag)
 - the root goal of the scheme with the plan aiming at achieving it (goal tag)
 - the set of missions structuring the scheme (mission tag)
- ► Goal definition within a scheme (goal tag) is composed of:
 - an idenfier (id attribute of goal tag)
 - a type (performance default, achievement or maintenance)
 - min. number of agents that must satisfy it (min) (default is "all")
 - optionally, an argument (argument tag) that must be assigned to a value when the scheme is created
 - optionally a plan
- Plan definition attached to a goal (plan tag) is composed of
 - one and only one operator (operator attribute of plan tag) with sequence, choice, parallel as possible values
 - set of goal definitions (goal tag) concerned by the operator



Scheme specification example

```
<scheme id="sideAttack">
<goal id="scoreGoal" min="1" >
 <plan operator="sequence">
   <goal id="g1" min="1" ds="get the ball" />
   <goal id="g2" min="3" ds="to be well placed">
     <plan operator="parallel">
       <goal id="g7" min="1" ds="go toward the opponent's field" />
       <goal id="g8" min="1" ds="be placed in the middle field" />
       <goal id="g9" min="1" ds="be placed in the opponent's goal area" />
     </plan>
   </goal>
   <goal id="g3" min="1" ds="kick the ball to the m2Ag" >
      <argument id="M2Ag" />
   </goal>
   <goal id="g4"
                       min="1" ds="go to the opponent's back line" />
   <goal id="g5"
                       min="1" ds="kick the ball to the goal area" />
   <goal id="g6"
                       min="1" ds="shot at the opponent's goal" />
 </plan>
</goal>
```

Mission specification

- Mission definition (mission tag) in the context of a scheme definition, is composed of:
 - identifier of the mission (id attribute of mission tag)
 - cardinality of the mission min (0 is default), max (unlimited is default) specifying the number of agents that can be committed to the mission
 - the set of goal identifiers (goal tag) that belong to the mission

```
<scheme id="sideAttack">
    ... the goals ...
<mission id="m1" min="1" max="1">
    <goal id="scoreGoal" /> <goal id="g1" />
    <goal id="g3" /> ...
</mission>
    ...
</scheme>
```



Functional specification example (1)



Graphical representation of social scheme for joj team

Functional specification example (2)



Graphical representation of social scheme "side_attack" for joj team



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Structural specification Functional specification Normative specification

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Normative Specification

- Explicit relation between the functional and structural specifications
- Permissions and obligations to commit to missions in the context of a role
- The normative specification makes explicit the normative dimension of a role



Normative specification

- Defined in-between the tag normative-specification in the context of an organisational-specification
- Definition in sequence of the different norms participating to the governance of the organisation
- Definition of programs written in Normative Programming Language (NPL)

```
<normative-specification>
<norm id="n1" ... />
...
<norm id="..." ... />
<npl-norms>
...
</npl-norms>
</normative-specification>
```



Norm Definition

Norm definition with norm tag, in the context of a normative-specification definition, with attributes:

- the identifier of the norm (id)
- the type of the norm (type) with obligation, permission as possible values

a condition of activation (condition) – optional – checking:

- properties of the organisation (e.g. #role_compatibility, #mission_cardinality, #role_cardinality, #goal_non_compliance)
- \rightsquigarrow unregimentation of organisation properties !!!
- (un)fulfillment of an obligation stated in a particular norm (unfulfilled, fulfilled)
- the role identifier (role) on which the norm is applied
- the mission identifier (mission) object of the norm
- a time constraint (time-constraint) optional –



Norm Definition – example

Any agent playing back is obliged to commit to mission m1 and achieve its goals within 1 minute

```
<norm id = "n1" type="obligation"
role="back" mission="m1" time-constraint="1 minute"/>
```

Any agent playing *left* is *obliged* to commit to mission m2 and achieve its goals within 1 day

```
<norm id = "n2" type="obligation"
role="left" mission="m2" time-constraint="1 day"/>
```

Any agent playing *coach* is *obliged* to commit to mission *ms* and achieve its goals within 3 hour in case obligation of norm n2 has not been fulfilled

```
<norm id = "n4" type="obligation"
condition="unfulfilled(obligation(_,n2,_,_))"
role="coach" mission="ms" time-constraint="3 hour"/>
```



Normative Programming Language (NPL)

Norms written in NPL have:

- an activation condition
- a consequence Two kinds of consequences are considered
 - regimentations (fail)
 - obligations (obligation)

terms starting with an upper case letter are variables

Example (Norm)

```
norm n1: plays(A,writer,G) -> fail.
```

or



Normative Programming Language (NPL)

Example (NPL Program)

```
<npl-norms>

a :- t & k.

norm npl1: a & v(X) ->

obligation(bob,true,g(X), 'now'+'1 day').

norm npl2: a & b -> fail(test).

</npl-norms>
```



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Organisation entity dynamics

- 1. Organisation is created (by the agents)
 - instances of groups
 - instances of schemes
- 2. Agents enter into groups adopting roles
- 3. When a group is well formed, it may become **responsible** for schemes
 - Agents from the group are then obliged to commit to missions in the scheme
- 4. Agents commit to missions
- 5. Agents fulfil mission's goals
- 6. Agents leave schemes and groups
- 7. Schemes and groups instances are destroyed


Goal dynamics



waiting initial state

enabled goal pre-conditions are satisfied & scheme is well-formed

satisfied agents committed to the goal have achieved it impossible the goal is impossible to be satisfied

Note: goal state from the Organization point of view may be different of the goal state from the Agent point of view

Norm dynamics



norm $n: \phi - > obligation(a, r, g, d)$

- ϕ : activation condition of the norm (e.g. play a role)
- g: the goal of the obligation (e.g. commit to a mission)
- d: the deadline of the obligation



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Integrating A & O dimensions





Integrating A & O dimensions

Agent integration mechanisms allow agents to be aware of and to deliberate on:

- entering/exiting the organisation
- modification of the organisation
- obedience/violation of norms
- sanctioning/rewarding other agents
- e.g. *J*-*M*oise⁺ [Hübner et al., 2007], Autonomy based reasoning [Carabelea, 2007], *ProsA*₂ Agent-based reasoning on norms [Ossowski, 1999], ...



Organization actions and beliefs

Observable Properties:

- group(group_id,group_type,artid): list of the group_id of group type that exist in the organizational entity
- scheme(scheme_id,scheme_type,artid): list of the scheme_id of scheme_type that exist in the organizational entity

Operations:

- createGroup(group) (resp. removeGroup(grid)): attempts to create (resp. remove) group in the organization
- createScheme(scheme) (resp. removeScheme(schid)): attempts to create (resp. remove) scheme in the organization

Note: available through OrgBoard Artifact created when creating an organization



Group actions and beliefs

Observable Properties:

- specification: group spec. in the OS
- player: list of play(agent, role, group)
- schemes: list of scheme identifiers that the group is responsible for
- subgroups, parentGroup, formationStatus (if the group is well formed or not)

Operations:

- adoptRole(role) (resp. leaveRole(role)): attempts to adopt (resp. leave) role in the group
- addScheme(schid) (resp. removeScheme(schid)): attempts to set (resp. unset) the group responsible for the scheme managed by the SchemeBoard schld
- setParentGroup(groupid), setOwner(agtid), destroy

Note: available through GroupBoard Artifact created when creating a group in an organization



Scheme actions and beliefs

Observable Properties:

- specification: scheme spec. in the OS
- commitments: list of commitment(agent, mission, scheme)
- groups: list of groups resp. for the scheme
- goalState: list of goals' current state
- goalArgument(schemeld,goalld,argld,value): added only if the argument has a value, usually defined by the operation setArgumentValue
- obligations: list of active obligations in the scheme (obligation(agt,norm,goal,deadline))
- permissions: list of active permissions in the scheme (permission(agt,norm,goal,deadline))
- goalArgument: value of goals' arguments, defined by the operation setArgumentValue

Operations:

- commitMission(mission) (resp. leaveMission): attempts to "commit" (resp "leave") a mission in the scheme
- goalAchieved(goal): declares that goal is achieved
- setArgumentValue(goal, argument, value): defines the value of goal's argument
- resetGoal(goal) (reset the status of a goal), destroy

Norm actions and beliefs

Observable Properties:

- obligation: current active obligations
- **Operations**:
 - load(nplprogram)
 - addFact (resp. removeFact)

Note: available in Normative board managing obligations/permissions defined in the normative specification

- automatically created when a group becomes responsible for a scheme
- or when loading any NPL program



Organisational actions in Jason I

Example (GroupBoard)

```
...
joinWorkspace("ora4mas",04MWsp);
makeArtifact(
    "auction",
    "ora4mas.nopl.GroupBoard",
    ["auction-os.xml", auctionGroup, false, true ],
    GrArtId);
adoptRole(auctioneer);
focus(GrArtId);
...
```



Organisational **actions** in *Jason* II Example (SchemeBoard)

```
makeArtifact(
    "sch1",
    "ora4mas.nopl.SchemeBoard",
    ["auction-os.xml", doAuction, false, true ],
    SchArtId);
focus(SchArtId);
addScheme(Sch);
commitMission(mAuctioneer)[artifact_id(SchArtId)];
...
```



Organisational actions in Jason III

- For roles:
 - adoptRole
 - leaveRole
- For missions:
 - commitMission
 - leaveMission
- Those actions usually are executed under regimentation (to avoid an inconsistent organisational state)
 - e.g. the adoption of role is constrained by
 - the cardinality of the role in the group
 - the compatibilities of the roles played by the agent



Organisational perception – example

Inspection of agent **bob** (cycle #0)

commitment(bob,mManager,"sch2")[artifact id(cobj 4).c Beliefs cept),artifact name(cobj 4,"sch2"),artifact type(cobj 4,"ora4m commitment(bob,mManager,"sch1")[artifact_id(cobj_3).c cept),artifact_name(cobj_3,"sch1"),artifact_type(cobj_3,"ora4m current_wsp(cobj_1,"ora4mas","308b05b0-2994-4fe8 formationStatus(ok)[artifact_id(cobj_2),obs_prop_id("obs_i+ obj 2,"mypaper"),artifact_type(cobj_2,"ora4mas.nopl.GroupBo goalState("sch2",wp,[bob],[bob],satisfied)[artifact_id(cot



Handling organisational events in Jason

Whenever something changes in the organisation, the agent architecture updates the agent belief base accordingly producing events (belief update from perception)

Example (new agent entered the group)

```
+play(Ag,boss,GId) <- .send(Ag,tell,hello).
```

Example (change in goal state)

+goalState(Scheme,wsecs,_,_,satisfied)

- : .my_name(Me) & commitment(Me,mCol,Scheme)
- <- leave_mission(mColaborator,Scheme).

Example (signals)

+normFailure(N) <- .print("norm failure event: ", N).</pre>



Typical plans for obligations

```
Example
+obligation(Ag,Norm,committed(Ag,Mission,Scheme),DeadLine)
    : .my_name(Ag)
   <- .print("I am obliged to commit to ",Mission);
      commit_mission(Mission,Scheme).
+obligation(Ag,Norm,achieved(Sch,Goal,Ag),DeadLine)
    : .my_name(Ag)
   <- .print("I am obliged to achieve goal ",Goal);
      !Goal[scheme(Sch)];
      goal_achieved(Goal,Sch).
+obligation(Ag,Norm,What,DeadLine)
   : .my_name(Ag)
   <- .print("I am obliged to ",What,
             ", but I don't know what to do!").
```



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Integrating O & E dimensions



Transforming organisations into embodied organisations [de Brito et al., 2012], [?], [Okuyama et al., 2008] so that:

- organisation may act on the environment (e.g. enact rules, regimentation)
- environment may act on the organisation (e.g. count-as rules) based on Situated Artificial Institution [de Brito et al., 2015]

Environment integration

- Organisational Artifacts enable organisation and environment integration
- Embodied organisation [Piunti et al., 2009]



status: ongoing work



Constitutive rules

Count-As rule

An event occurring on an artifact, in a particular context, may "count-as" an institutional event

- transforms the events created in the working environment into activation of an organisational operation
- \rightsquigarrow indirect automatic updating of the organisation

Enact rule

An event produced on an organisational artifact, in a specific institutional context, may "enact" change and updating of the working environment (i.e., to promote equilibrium, avoid undesiderable states)

- Installing automated control on the working environment
- Even without the intervention of organisational/staff agents (regimenting actions on physical artifacts, enforcing sanctions, ...)



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Organisational Artifacts Normative Programming Language

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Organisation management infrastructure (OMI)

Responsibility

Managing – coordination, regulation – the agents' execution within organisation defined by an organisational specification



(e.g. MadKit, AMELI, $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{M}oise^+$, ...)



ORA4MAS: OMI within JaCaMo

Based on A&A and \mathcal{M} oise.

Agents' working environment is instrumented with Organizational

Artifacts (OA) offering "organizational" actions

 \rightsquigarrow $\mbox{Distributed}$ management of the organization with a clear separation of concerns:

- Agents:

 - perceive the organization state and violations of norms from the OAs
 - decide about:
 - actions on the organization, on norms
 - sanctions to apply
- OAs are in charge of interpreting Normative Programs
 - to detect and evaluate norms compliance
 - or to regiment norms



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo Organisational Artifacts Normative Programming Language

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



ORA4MAS- OrgBoard artifact

Manages all artifacts of an organisation.

Observable Properties:

- group(group_id,group_type,artid): list of the group_id of group_type that exist in the organizational entity
- scheme(scheme_id,scheme_type,artid): list of the scheme_id of scheme_type that exist in the organizational entity

Operations:

- createGroup(group) (resp. removeGroup(grid)): attempts to create (resp. remove) group in the organization
- createScheme(scheme) (resp. removeScheme(schid)): attempts to create (resp. remove) scheme in the organization



ORA4MAS- GroupBoard artifact

Manages the functioning of an instance of group in the organization.

Observable Properties:

- specification: group spec. in the OS
- player: list of play(agent, role, group)
- schemes: list of scheme identifiers that the group is responsible for
- subgroups, parentGroup, formationStatus (if the group is well formed or not)

Operations:

- adoptRole(role) (resp. leaveRole(role)): attempts to adopt (resp. leave) role in the group
- addScheme(schid) (resp. removeScheme(schid)): attempts to set (resp. unset) the group responsible for the scheme managed by the SchemeBoard schld
- setParentGroup(groupid), setOwner(agtid), destroy





ORA4MAS- SchemeBoard artifact

Manages the functioning of an instance of social scheme in the organization.

Observable Properties:

- specification: scheme spec. in the OS
- commitments: list of commitment(agent, mission, scheme)
- groups: list of groups resp. for the scheme
- goalState: list of goals' current state
- goalArgument(schemeld,goalld,argld,value): added only if the argument has a value, usually defined by the operation setArgumentValue
- obligations: list of active obligations in the scheme (obligation(agt,norm,goal,deadline))
- permissions: list of active permissions in the scheme

(permission(agt,norm,goal,deadline))

 goalArgument: value of goals' arguments, defined by the operation setArgumentValue





ORA4MAS- SchemeBoard artifact (Contd)

Manages the functioning of an instance of social scheme in the organization.

- **Operations**:
 - commitMission(mission) (resp. leaveMission): attempts to "commit" (resp "leave") a mission in the scheme
 - goalAchieved(goal): declares that goal is achieved
 - setArgumentValue(goal, argument, value): defines the value of goal's argument
 - resetGoal(goal) (reset the status of a goal), destroy





admCommand in Scheme/Group Boards

// in some plan of some agent
admCommand(setCardinality(role,editor,0,10));
admCommand(setCardinality(role,writer,0,20));

lookupArtifact("s1", SId); // get artifact id of scheme "s1" admCommand(setCardinality(mission,mColaborator,0,3))[aid(SId)]; admCommand(setCardinality(mission,mManager,0,2))[aid(SId)];

Only the owner of the group/scheme can perform admCommands



ORA4MAS- NormativeBoard artifact

It can be loaded with any NPL program

- is used to manage obligations/permissions defined in the normative specification
- When a group becomes responsible for a scheme, an instance of this artifact is created automatically.

Observable Properties:

obligation: current active obligations

Operations:

- load(nplprogram)
- addFact (resp. removeFact)



Organisational Artifact Architecture

Org. Artifacts managing groups and social schemes execution:

- interpret programs written in Normative Programming Language (NPL) [?] coming from the automatic translation of *M*oise programs
- generate signals
 - oblCreated(o), oblFulfilled(o), oblUnfulfilled(o)
 - obllnactive(o), normFailure(f)

(o = obligation(to whom, reason, what, deadline))





Generic control cycle of an Organisational Artifact

```
// oe: current state of the org. managed by the artifact
// p: current NOPL program
// npi: NPL interpreter
When operation o is triggered by agent a do
 oe' <- oe \\ creates a ''backup'' of current oe
 oe <- executes(0.0e)</pre>
 f <- a list of predicates representing oe
 r <- npi(p,f) \setminus runs the interpreter for the new state
 If r == fail then
   oe <- oe' \\ restore the state backup
   fail operation o
 else
   update observable properties from obligations state
   success operation o
```

Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo Organisational Artifacts Normative Programming Language

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Structural Operational Semantics

A normative system configuration is a tuple: $\langle F, N, ns, OS, t \rangle$ with

- ► F is a set of facts
- ► N is a set of norms
- ns is the state of the normative system (sound state ⊤ or a failure state ⊥)
- ► OS is a set of obligations each element os ∈ OS is ⟨o, ost⟩ where o obligation and ost its state
- t is the current time

The initial configuration of a NP P is $\langle P_F, P_N, \top, \emptyset, 0 \rangle$

P_F and *P_N* are the initial facts and norms defined in the normative program *P*



Rules for Norm Management

Failure detection:

$$\frac{n \in N \quad F \models n_{\varphi} \quad n_{\psi} = \texttt{fail}(\underline{\ })}{\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow \langle F, N, \bot, OS, t \rangle}$$
(Regim)

when any norm *n* becomes active (i.e., its **condition** component holds in the current state) and its **consequence** is fail(_), the normative state is no longer sound but in failure (\perp) .

Roll back from failure:

$$\frac{\forall n \in N.(F \models n_{\varphi} \implies n_{\psi} \neq \texttt{fail}(_))}{\langle F, N, \bot, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow \langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle}$$
(Consist)



Rules for Norm Management (continued)

Creation of obligation:

$$n \in N \qquad F \models n_{\varphi} \qquad n_{\psi} = o \qquad o\theta_{d} > t$$

$$\neg \exists \langle o', ost \rangle \in OS \ . \ (o' \stackrel{\text{obl}}{=} o\theta \land ost \neq \text{inactive})$$

$$\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow$$

$$\langle F, N, \top, OS \cup \langle o\theta, \text{active} \rangle, t \rangle$$
 (Oblig)

where θ is the m.g.u. such that $F \models o\theta$



Rules for Obligation Management

$$\frac{os \in OS \qquad os = \langle o, \operatorname{active} \rangle}{F \models o_g \qquad o_d \ge t} \qquad (Fulfil)$$

$$\frac{F \models o_g \qquad o_d \ge t}{\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow} \qquad (Fulfil)$$

$$\frac{os \in OS \qquad os = \langle o, \operatorname{active} \rangle \qquad o_d < t}{\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow} \qquad (Unfulfil)$$

$$\frac{os \in OS \qquad os = \langle o, \operatorname{active} \rangle \qquad f_d < t}{\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow} \qquad (Inactive)$$

$$\frac{os \in OS \qquad os = \langle o, \operatorname{active} \rangle \quad F \not\models o_r}{\langle F, N, \top, OS, t \rangle \longrightarrow} \qquad (Inactive)$$


NOPL

Normative Organisation Programming Language

NOPL is a particular class of NPL: facts, rules and norms are specific to a OML (eg. *M*oise NOML):



id	condition	role	type	mission	TTF
n2 n3 n4 n5 n6 n7 n6	unfulfilled(n2) fulfilled(n3) #gnc #rc #mc	writer editor editor editor editor editor	obl obl obl obl obl obl	mCol mBib ms mr ms ms ms	1 day 1 day 3 hours 3 hours 3 hours 30 minutes 1 hour

#gnc = goal_non_compliance
 #rc = role_compatibility
 #mc = mission_cardinality

OS in $\mathcal M\textsc{oise}$ OML to NOPL translation

Example (role cardinality norm – regimentation)

```
group_role(writer,1,5).
```

```
norm ncar: group_role(R,_,M) &
    rplayers(R,G,V) & V > M
    -> fail(role_cardinality(R,G,V,M)).
```

Example (role cardinality norm – agent decision)



\mathcal{M} oise Social scheme — NOPL — Facts

Static facts:

- scheme_mission(m,max,min): cardinality of mission m;
- goal(m,g,pre-cond,'ttf'): mission, preconditions and TTF for goal g.
- Dynamic facts (provided at run-time by the organisational artifact in charge of the management of the social scheme instance):
 - plays(a,ρ,gr): agent a plays the role ρ in the group instance identified by gr.
 - responsible(gr,s): the group instance gr is responsible for the missions of the scheme instance s.
 - committed(a,m,s): the agent a is committed to mission m in scheme s.
 - achieved(s,g,a): the goal g has been achieved in the scheme s by the agent a.



\mathcal{M} oise Social scheme — NOPL — Rules

Example of rules used to infer the state of the scheme:

```
Number of players of mission M in scheme S:
mplayers(M,S,V) :-
```

```
.count(committed(_,M,S),V).
```

Wellformedness property of scheme S:

```
well_formed(S) :-
```

mplayers(mBib,S,V1) & V1 >= 1 & V1 <= 1 &
mplayers(mCol,S,V2) & V2 >= 1 & V2 <= 5 &
mplayers(mMan,S,V3) & V3 >= 1 & V3 <= 1.</pre>





\mathcal{M} oise Social scheme — NOPL — Norms

Norms for goals

Agents are obliged to achieve their ready goals

```
norm ngoa:
    committed(A,M,S) & goal(M,G,_,D) &
    well_formed(S) & ready(S,G)
-> obligation(A,ngoa,achieved(S,G,A), 'now' + D).
```

Norms for properties

```
    Mission cardinality as regimentation
norm mission_cardinality:
scheme_mission(M,_,MMax) & mplayers(M,S,MP) & MP > MMax
    -> fail(mission_cardinality).
    Mission_cardinality as obligation
```

Mission cardinality as obligation

norm mission_cardinality: scheme_mission(M,_,MMax) & mplayers(M,S,MP) & MP > MMax responsible(Gr,S) & plays(A,editor,Gr) -> obligation(A,mission_cardinality,

committed(A,ms,_), 'now'+'1 hour').



\mathcal{M} oise — NOPL — Norms

- \rightsquigarrow Definition of similar kinds of facts, rules and norms for the groups, roles in the structural specification
- Domain norms:
 - Each norm in the normative specification of the OS has a corresponding norm in the NOP
 - Since in the OS, obligations refer to roles and missions, norms in corresponding NOP identify the agents playing the role in groups responsible for the scheme and take into account the property conditions.

```
norm n2:
```

plays(A,writer,Gr) & responsible(Gr,S) &
mplayers(mCol,S,V) & V < 5</pre>

```
-> obligation(A,n2,committed(A,mCol,S),'now'+'1 day').
```



Partial Synthesis

- NPL, based on obligation and regimentation, formalised using operational semantics, specialised into NOPL
- Automatic translation of OS written in *M*oise OML into several NOPs
- Implementation in ORA4MAS, artifact-based OMI: Organisational Artifacts act as interpreters of NOPs.
 - NOPL (80%): dynamic of obligations (several aspects of the Moise OS have been translated to norms)
 - CArtAgO (10%): interface for agents
 - ▶ Java (10%): dynamic of organisational state



Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Writing paper example

Organisation Specification

```
<organisational-specification
  <structural-specification>
      <role-definitions>
      <role id="author" />
      <role id="writer"> <extends role="author"/> </role>
      <role id="editor"> <extends role="author"/> </role>
      </role-definitions>
      <group-specification id="wpgroup">
      <roles>
      <role id="writer" min="1" max="5" />
      <role id="editor" min="1" max="1" />
```

</roles>



Writing paper sample I

Execution

jaime action: jmoise.create_group(wpgroup)

all perception: group(wpgroup,g1)[owner(jaime)]

jaime action: jmoise.adopt_role(editor,g1)

olivier action: jmoise.adopt_role(writer,g1)

jomi action: jmoise.adopt_role(writer,g1)

all perception:

play(jaime,editor,g1)
play(olivier,writer,g1)
play(jomi,writer,g1)



Writing paper sample II

Execution

jaime action: jmoise.create_scheme(writePaperSch, [g1])

- all perception: scheme(writePaperSch,s1)[owner(jaime)]
- all perception: scheme_group(s1,g1)
- jaime perception:
 - permission(s1,mManager)[role(editor),group(wpgroup)]

jaime action: jmoise.commit_mission(mManager,s1)

olivier perception:

obligation(s1,mColaborator)[role(writer),group(wpgroup), obligation(s1,mBib)[role(writer),group(wpgroup)

olivier action: jmoise.commit_mission(mColaborator,s1)

- olivier action: jmoise.commit_mission(mBib,s1)
 - jomi perception:

obligation(s1,mColaborator)[role(writer),group(wpgroup), obligation(s1,mBib)[role(writer),group(wpgroup)]

jomi action: jmoise.commit_mission(mColaborator,s1)



Writing paper sample III

Execution

all perception:

commitment(jaime,mManager,s1)
commitment(olivier,mColaborator,s1)
commitment(olivier,mBib,s1)
commitment(jomi,mColaborator,s1)



Writing paper sample IV



all perception: goal_state(s1,*,unsatisfied)
jaime (only wtitle is possible, Jaime should work)
 event: +!wtitle
 action: jmoise.set_goal_state(s1,wtitle,satisfied)

Writing paper sample V



jaime event: +!wabs action: jmoise.set goal state(s1,wabs,satisfied)



Writing paper sample VI



jaime event: +!wsectitles

action: jmoise.set_goal_state(s1,wsectitles,satisfied)



Writing paper sample VII



olivier, jomi event: +!wsecs action: jmoise.set goal state(s1,wsecs,satisfied)



Writing paper sample VIII



jaime event: +!wcon; ... olivier event: +!wref; ...



Writing paper sample IX Execution

all action: jmoise.remove_mission(s1)
jaime action: jmoise.jmoise.remove_scheme(s1)



Useful tools - Mind inspector

play(gaucho1,herder,gr_herding_grp_13)_[source(orgManager)]. play(gaucho4,herdboy,gr_herding_grp_13)_[source(orgManager)]. play(gaucho5,herdboy,gr_herding_grp_13)_[source(orgManager)]. pos(*45*,*44*,*128*)_[source(percept)]. scheme(herd_sch,sch_herd_sch_18)_[owner(gaucho3),source(orgManager)]. scheme(herd_sch,sch_herd_sch_12)_[owner(gaucho1),source(orgManager)]. scheme_group(sch_herd_sch_12,gr_herding_grp_13)_[source(orgManager)]. steps(700)_[source(self)]. target(6,*44*)_[source(gaucho1)].

- Rules random_pos(X,Y) :-(pos(AgX,AgY,_418) & (jia.random(RX,40) & ((RX > 5) & ((X = ((RX-20)+AgX)) & ((X > ______

Intentions	Sei	IQ	Pen	Intended Means Stack (hide details)
		16927	suspended- self	+!be_in_formation[scheme(sch_herd_sch_12),mission(hell +!be_in_formation[scheme(Sch),mission(Mission)]

Outline

Organization Abstractions

Organization Dynamics

Integrating A & O dimensions

Integrating O & E dimensions

Organisation Management Infrastructure in JaCaMo

Example

Conclusions and wrap-up



Wrap-up

- Model to specify global orchestration
- \rightsquigarrow team strategy defined at a high level
- Ensures that the agents follow some of the constraints specified for the organisation
- Helps the agents to work together
- The organisation is interpreted at runtime, it is not hardwired in the agents code
- ► The agents 'handle' the organisation (i.e. their artifacts)
- It is suitable for open systems as no specific agent architecture is required
- Organization can easily be changed by the developers or by the agents themselves
- All available as open source at

http://moise.souceforge.net



Bibliography I



Boissier, O., Bordini, R. H., Hübner, J. F., Ricci, A., and Santi, A. (2011). Multi-agent oriented programming with jacamo. *Science of Computer Programming*, pages –.



Bordini, R. H., Hübner, J. F., and Wooldrige, M. (2007). *Programming Multi-Agent Systems in AgentSpeak using Jason*. Wiley Series in Agent Technology. John Wiley & Sons.



Carabelea, C. (2007).

Reasoning about autonomy in open multi-agent systems - an approach based on the social power theory.

in french, ENS Mines Saint-Etienne.



de Brito, M., Hübner, J. F., and Boissier, O. (2015).

Bringing constitutive dynamics to situated artificial institutions.

In Proc. of 17th Portuguese Conference on Artificial Intelligence (EPIA 2015), volume 9273 of LNCS, pages 624–637. Springer.



de Brito, M., Hübner, J. F., and Bordini, R. H. (2012). Programming institutional facts in multi-agent systems. In *COIN-12, Proceedings*.



Bibliography II

Gâteau, B., Boissier, O., Khadraoui, D., and Dubois, E. (2005).

Moiseinst: An organizational model for specifying rights and duties of autonomous agents.

In *Third European Workshop on Multi-Agent Systems (EUMAS 2005)*, pages 484–485, Brussels Belgium.



Hannoun, M., Boissier, O., Sichman, J. S., and Sayettat, C. (2000).

Moise: An organizational model for multi-agent systems.

In Monard, M. C. and Sichman, J. S., editors, *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference, 7th Ibero-American Conference on AI, 15th Brazilian Symposium on AI (IBERAMIA/SBIA'2000), Atibaia, SP, Brazil, November 2000,* LNAI 1952, pages 152–161, Berlin. Springer.



Hübner, J. F., Boissier, O., Kitio, R., and Ricci, A. (2009).

Instrumenting Multi-Agent Organisations with Organisational Artifacts and Agents.

Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems.

Bibliography III



Hübner, J. F., Sichman, J. S., and Boissier, O. (2002).

A model for the structural, functional, and deontic specification of organizations in multiagent systems.

In Bittencourt, G. and Ramalho, G. L., editors, *Proceedings of the 16th Brazilian Symposium on Artificial Intelligence (SBIA'02)*, volume 2507 of *LNAI*, pages 118–128, Berlin. Springer.

Hübner, J. F., Sichman, J. S., and Boissier, O. (2006).

S-MOISE+: A middleware for developing organised multi-agent systems.

In Boissier, O., Dignum, V., Matson, E., and Sichman, J. S., editors, *Coordination, Organizations, Institutions, and Norms in Multi-Agent Systems*, volume 3913 of *LNCS*, pages 64–78. Springer.



Hübner, J. F., Sichman, J. S., and Boissier, O. (2007).

Developing Organised Multi-Agent Systems Using the MOISE+ Model: Programming Issues at the System and Agent Levels.

Agent-Oriented Software Engineering, 1(3/4):370–395.



Bibliography IV



Okuyama, F. Y., Bordini, R. H., and da Rocha Costa, A. C. (2008).

A distributed normative infrastructure for situated multi-agent organisations.

In Baldoni, M., Son, T. C., van Riemsdijk, M. B., and Winikoff, M., editors, *DALT*, volume 5397 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 29–46. Springer.



Ossowski, S. (1999).

Co-ordination in Artificial Agent Societies: Social Structures and Its Implications for Autonomous Problem-Solving Agents, volume 1535 of LNAI. Springer.



Piunti, M., Ricci, A., Boissier, O., and Hubner, J. (2009).

Embodying organisations in multi-agent work environments.

In IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology (WI-IAT 2009), Milan, Italy.

Ricci, A., Piunti, M., Viroli, M., and Omicini, A. (2009). Environment programming in CArtAgO.

In *Multi-Agent Programming: Languages, Platforms and Applications, Vol.2.* Springer.

